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The unusual reactivity of hafnocene complexes in comparison to their titanium and zirconium analogues was dramatically demonstrated in the recent functionalization of coordinated N\textsubscript{2} and the coupling of CO\textsubscript{2} and N\textsubscript{2} to a substituted hydrazine product.[1,2] The differences in their structure and bonding were also noticed:[3] for example, the \(\sigma\) and \(\pi\) ratio of the diene bonding in (s-cis-\(\eta\)-diene) metallocene complexes is shifted to larger \(\sigma\) character for Hf compared to Zr, thus giving shorter Hf–C than Zr–C bonds. Herein, we report the unusual tandem Si–C and C–H bond activation of decamethylhafnocene and bis(trimethylsilyl)acetylene, which could not be carried out using titanium and zirconium congeners.

The complexation of bis(trimethylsilyl)acetylene by decamethylhafnocene leads to the three-membered hafnacyclopropene \([\text{Cp}^*\text{2Hf}((\text{Me}\text{3Si})\text{C}2\text{SiMe}3)]\) (1; \(\text{Cp}^* = \eta^1\text{-C}5\text{Me}5\)).[4a] Surprisingly, until very recently only Ti and Zr analogues were known, and these show a very multifaceted chemistry.[5] Our first reduction experiments with \([\text{Cp}^*\text{2HfCl}2]\) and \(\text{Me}_3\text{SiC}17\text{CSiMe}3\) with magnesium in THF showed that the method used for the successful preparation of titanium and zirconium alkyne complexes failed for hafnocene alkyne complexes. The preferred ring opening of THF was found to be the reason for this failure.[6] However, the reaction of \([\text{Cp}^*\text{HfCl}3]\) with \(\text{Me}_3\text{SiC}3\text{SiMe}3\) and lithium in toluene resulted in the formation of the desired complex 1, which can be isolated in an optimized yield of 45\% (Scheme 1).[4a]

Apparently, the competition between THF and alkyne coordination to the metal causes the attempted synthesis of the hafnium complexes using magnesium in THF to fail.

In an attempt to gain further insight into the hafnocene chemistry, the reaction of \([\text{Cp}^*\text{HfCl}3]\) with Li and \(\text{Me}_3\text{SiC}3\text{SiMe}3\) in toluene was studied. By shortening the reaction time, we were able to isolate (in addition to the alkyne complex 1) a light green crystalline solid consisting of complex 2, in which one of the C–H bonds of a methyl group of a Cp* ligand has reacted with the metal to form a Hf–C bond (Scheme 1). The vinyl ligand is obtained from \(\text{Me}_3\text{SiC}3\text{SiMe}3\) by the formal migration of a \(\text{Me}_3\text{Si}\) group from one carbon atom to the other (perhaps via vinylidene complex B, Scheme 2). This is a tandem activation of a C–H bond of one Cp* methyl group and a Si–C bond of the alkyne by the Hf center.

Scheme 1. Synthesis of complexes 1, 2, and 3.
Communications

Extending the reaction time led to transfer of a hydrogen atom back to the Cp* ligand, but activation of a methyl C–H bond from the Me_3Si group resulted in metallacycle 3, which was formed from complex 2 by an even more intriguing pathway. The intermediacy of 2 in the formation of 3 was established experimentally by the direct conversion of 2 to 3.

Upon heating in toluene, isolated complex 2 cleanly gave complex 3, whereas no conversion of 1 to the other complexes was found. Formation of complexes 1 and 2 (and from this 3) is simultaneous. Interestingly, the methyl groups of the Cp* ligands compete with those of the Me_3Si groups to interact with the Hf center to form either 2 or 3.

The near-linear Hf-C_3-C_6 unit (174.8°) and the α-agostic C–H interaction with the hafnium center (Hf1–H1 separation 2.08(5) Å) in complex 2 (Figure 1) are reminiscent of the agostic interaction in the complex [(η^5-1,3-(SiMe_3CH_2Pr)_2CH_2)]Hf=CPh(Cl)] (Hf–H 1.93(3) Å, Hf–C=1 67.8(4)°).[9]

The vinyl hydrogen atom appears as a doublet in the ^1H NMR spectrum at 2.51 ppm with a coupling constant of \( J_{HH} = 1.7 \) Hz. The signal for the C-atoms displays an extreme downfield shift (261.5 ppm), giving a doublet in the ^13C NMR spectrum with a coupling constant of \( J_{CH} = 62 \) Hz.

Complex 2 must reorganize by reconstitution of the free methyl group at the Cp* ligand to form 3, thus requiring C–H activation of a methyl substituent of the Me3Si group in β-position to the metal. With respect to the starting alkyne, one of the Me3Si groups has migrated from one alkyne C-atom to the other, and one of the corresponding methyl groups shows a C–H activation. The resulting CH_2 group bonds to the Hf center, thus forming the five-membered ring (Figure 2). In brief, Hf has activated a Si–C bond and a C–H bond in the formation of 2 and has activated another C–H bond in the formation of 3. Similar reactions are not observed with Ti or Zr.

Metallacycle 3 was obtained as a pale yellow crystalline solid in 64% yield, accompanied by the alkyne complex 1. The ^1H NMR spectrum shows three singlets, one each for the Me_3Si (0.27 ppm), SiMe_3 (0.45 ppm), and CH_2 groups (0.41 ppm). The signal for the C–H group is found to be shifted downfield to 8.43 ppm. The observed wavenumber \( \tilde{v}(C=\mathrm{C}) = 1461 \) cm \(^{-1}\) clearly shows the double-bond character of the C12–C13 bond in the five-membered ring, which is also indicated by the bond length of 1.381(15) Å (Figure 2).

How can the reaction to form complexes 2 and 3, which was observed exclusively for Hf and never for Ti and Zr, be rationalized? Most likely during the synthesis of 1, and alternatively to its formation, a C–Si bond is cleaved to form the acetylide complex [Cp*+H(SiMe_3)(C=C(SiMe_3))](A) with subsequent silyl-group migration to form the vinylidene complex [Cp*+H=H=C(SiMe_3)] (B: Scheme 2). We have no experimental hints for the formation of these intermediates, but similar reactions are well-known in transition-metal chemistry. For example, Jones and co-workers published such a Si–C bond cleavage reaction for Pt and Ni.[10] Schneider and Werner found the formation of vinylidene complexes starting from bis(trimethylsilyl)acetylene complexes of Rh.[9]

Cleavage of the Si–C bond[9a] in Me_3Si≡CSiMe_3 by interaction with lithium under formation of Me_3Si≡CLi and LiSiMe_3 was excluded experimentally. Thus, pathways involving subsequent salt elimination with [Cp*+HCl](C≡SiMe_3) to form A and reactions of [Cp*+HCl](C≡SiMe_3) with LiSiMe_3 and possibly formed H≡CSiMe_3 to give 3 (in analogy to studies by Mori and co-workers for Zr complexes) can be excluded.[9b]

In the vinylidene intermediate B, the methyl groups of the Cp* ligand compete with those of the Me_3Si groups to interact with the Hf center. The C–H bond-activation complex 2 is probably the kinetically favored product, which gives, with time, the thermodynamically more stable complex 3.

Density functional theory studies (B3LYP/LANL2DZ)[10] on the analogous Hf, Zr, and Ti structures support this mechanistic route (Scheme 2). Frequency calculations confirm the nature of stationary points. The calculated geometrical parameters are in close agreement with the experimental structures (Table 1).

Relative energies (with respect to structure 1’) show that structure 3’ is lowest in energy for Hf, thus supporting the experimental observations (Figure 3 and Table 1). The high relative energy of structure A’ (Scheme 2) for Ti and Zr prevents its formation and further reactions. Further studies on the mechanistic details of the conversion of 2 into 3 will be published in due course. We have also studied these reactions while varying the number of methyl substituents on the cyclopentadienyl moiety and at the Si atoms. The results are
comparable to those obtained with the permethylated structures.

The tandem Si–C and C–H bond cleavage reactions of Me₃SiC=CSiMe₃ by hafnocene described herein are of general importance for Group 4 organometallic chemistry. The {Cp*₂Hf} fragment, as a reactive intermediate, alternatively attacks two different bonds of Me₃SiC=CSiMe₃: the C=C bond and the silicon–carbon bond Si–C₂. Concerning the discrimination between these two alternative reactions, it seems reasonable to speculate that the formation of A starts from an alkyne ligand in a trans conformation. A similar situation was found in the complexes [Cp₂M(η²-trans-Me₃SiC=CSiMe₂-H)] (M = Ti, Zr) in which the C=C and Si–H bonds compete for coordination to the metal center. In both cases, the use of Cp* ligands prevents trans coordination (“and” case I for complexation of both functionalities) and favors cis coordination (“or” cases II and III for selective complexation of one of the two functionalities, Scheme 3).

Owing to the stronger interaction of the hafnocene core, unusual bond activations become possible which are not favorable for its titanocene and zirconocene congeners, for

**Table 1:** Relative energies of the structures given in Scheme 2 for Hf, Ti, and Zr analogues.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structures[b]</th>
<th>Ti</th>
<th>Zr</th>
<th>Hf</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A′</td>
<td>23.55</td>
<td>13.45</td>
<td>9.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B′</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>11.02</td>
<td>12.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2′</td>
<td>11.69</td>
<td>11.14</td>
<td>8.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3′</td>
<td>7.76</td>
<td>−0.51</td>
<td>−6.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[a] Values in kcal mol⁻¹ with respect to E₀(ZPE) = 0 for structure 1′.  
[b] The prime symbol (′) indicates the replacement of Hf in the formula with another metal center (M = Ti, Zr, Hf).
example, intermolecular for N₂ with aryl isocyanates,[1] N₂ with CO₂,[2] butadiene,[3] THF[4] and so forth, or as described herein, intramolecular for the Cp° ligands and the SiMe₃ substituents. This enhanced reactivity should always be considered if hafnium complexes are synthesized or applied catalytically.
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